UNICED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States retent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSONED FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 450
Alexangia, Virginia 22313-1450
www.spun.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603.080	06/25/2003	Pasi Jaaskela	59643.00293	1887
32294 7590 03/13/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182		ı p	EXAMINER ,	
			NGUYEN, DUSTIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,080	JAASKELA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dustin Nguyen	2154
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 D</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/30/03, 12/20/06	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Please replace "the said content" with "said the content", for claims 1, 5 and 17
 - b. Please replace "the said determination" with "said the determination", for claims
 - 4, 6, and 8
 - c. Please replace "the said indication" with "said the indication", for claim 6.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim 17 is directed to a non-statutory subject matter. It appears claim 1 would reasonably be interpreted by one of ordinary skill as a system of software per se, failing to fall within a statutory category of invention. Applicant's disclosure contains no explicit and deliberate definition for the term "operator determination unit", "identity modifier", "message former", and "message transmitter", and in the context of the disclosure and claims in questions, one of ordinary skill would reasonably interpret these

terms as software applications. As such, the system of "operator determination unit", "identity modifier", "message former", and "message transmitter" alone is not a machine, and it is clearly not a process, manufacturer nor composition of matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-9, 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hazlewood [US Patent No 7,043,229], in view of Bot et al. [US Patent Application No 2004/0242226].
- 6. As per claim 1, Hazlewood discloses the invention as claimed including a method for determining a regime to be applied to a connection in a communication system by means of which connections may be made to terminals associated with any of a plurality of operators, each terminal being addressable by means of a subscriber identity formatted to include a first field and a second field, the first field being, for at least some of the subscriber identities indicative of the operator with which a respective subscriber identity is associated [i.e. a system or method for determining a tariff for wireless calls made to or from ported directory numbers] [Abstract; col 1, lines 9-13; and col 2, lines 21-31], the method comprising:

transmitting to an operator determination function of the network a first message requesting a regime for a connection [i.e. MSC/SSF or GMSC/SSF sends a IDP message to the SCP] [31, Figure 2 and 3; col 4, lines 56-60; and col 5, lines 53-60] and including a subscriber identity field comprising an indication of the subscriber identity of the terminal that is to terminate the connection [i.e. a call setup message containing a called party number] [col 2, lines 35-41; and col 4, lines 65-67];

Page 4

receiving the first message at the operator determination function [i.e. the message is received by the PPS Service Scripts] [27, Figures 2 and 3; Abstract; col 2, lines 35-37; and col 4, lines 58-60];

determining by means of the operator determination function the operator to which the subscriber identity of the terminal that is to terminate the connection is assigned [i.e. the scripts determine whether the routing number points to the network operator's own network or another] [Abstract; col 2, lines 23-31; and col 5, lines 15-19], and content of the first field that is associated with that operator [i.e. prefix values] [col 5, lines 19-47];

forming a second message requesting a regime for a connection and including a subscriber identity field comprising the modified subscriber identity [i.e. based on the result of the query, the PSL scripts add the correct prefix and send to the PPS SDP for rating] [56, Figures 4 and 5; and col 6, lines 51-58; and col 7, lines 20-27]; and

transmitting the second message to a regime determination function arranged to analyse a subscriber identity received in a message requesting a regime for a connection to determine a regime based on the first field of the received subscriber identity [i.e. send to PPS SDP for rating] [col 3, lines 2-5; col 4, lines 19-23; and col 7, lines 28-44].

Page 5

Hazlewood does not specifically disclose

forming at the operator determination function a modified subscriber identity having as its second field at least the content of the second field of the subscriber identity of the terminal that is to terminate the connection and having as its first field the said content of the first field that is associated with that operator;

Bot discloses

forming at the operator determination function a modified subscriber identity having as its second field at least the content of the second field of the subscriber identity of the terminal that is to terminate the connection and having as its first field the said content of the first field that is associated with that operator [i.e. redirect message comprising a destination number comprising the number sent from the terminal and the prefix added by the SCF] [Figure 2; Abstract; and paragraphs, 0005, 0006, 0009 and 0019, lines 24-40].

It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hazlewood and Bot because the teaching of Bot would allow implementation as well as maintenance of a service is easy, as the IN service to be accessed as well as a determination of the prefix is located in the same network [Bot, paragraphs 0006].

- 7. As per claim 2, Hazlewood discloses wherein the regime is a tariff [Abstract; and col 1, lines 9-13].
- 8. As per claim 3, Hazlewood does not specifically disclose transmitting together with the

Application/Control Number: 10/603,080

Art Unit: 2154

indication of the subscriber identity of the terminal that is to terminate the connection an

Page 6

indication of the type of the connection; forming the second message so as to include the indication of the type of the connection; and wherein the regime determination function is arranged to determine the regime based on the first field of the received subscriber identity and the indication of the type of the connection. Bot discloses transmitting together with the indication of the subscriber identity of the terminal that is to terminate the connection an indication of the type of the connection; forming the second message so as to include the indication of the type of the connection; and wherein the regime determination function is arranged to determine the regime based on the first field of the received subscriber identity and the indication of the type of the connection [i.e. determining the type of IN service from the In service type identification number] [22b, Figure 2; and paragraphs 0010, 0011 and 0019, lines 40-45]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hazlewood and Bot because the teaching of Bot would allow implementation as well as maintenance of a service is easy, as the IN service to be accessed as well as a determination of the prefix is located in the same network [Bot, paragraphs 0006].

As per claim 4, Hazlewood discloses wherein the operator determination function has 9. access to a first database that stores a list of subscriber identities and for each one an indication of the operator with which the respective subscriber identity is associated, and wherein the said determining step includes looking up the subscriber identity of the terminal that is to terminate the connection in the first database and retrieving any indication of an operator associated

therewith in the first database [i.e. Local Number Portability database] [13, Figures 2-5; and col 1, lines 51-col 2, lines 12].

- 10. As per claim 5, Hazlewood discloses wherein the indication of an operator is the said content of the first field that is associated with that operator [i.e. prefix values] [col 5, lines 15-47].
- 11. As per claim 6, Hazlewood discloses wherein the operator determination function has access to a second database that stores a list of indicators of operators and for each one content of the first field that is associated with that operator, and the said determining step comprises looking up the said indication of an operator in the second database and retrieving content of the first field associated therewith [i.e. prefix values table] [col 5, lines 15-47; and col 6, lines 45-58].
- 12. As per claim 7, Hazlewood discloses wherein the subscriber identity field of the message requesting a regime for a connection does not include the first field of the subscriber identity of the terminal that is to terminate the connection [i.e. IDP message contains the MSISDN of the PPS subscriber and the CdPN] [col 4, lines 65-67; and col 5, lines 59-60].
- 13. As per claim 8, Hazlewood discloses wherein if in the said determining step it is determined that the content of the first field of the subscriber identity of the terminal is associated with the operator to which that subscriber identity is assigned, the second message

requesting a regime for a connection is formed with its subscriber identity field comprising the subscriber identity unmodified [i.e. if number is not ported] [col 5, lines 38-41; and col 6, lines 41-45].

- 14. As per claim 9, Hazelwood discloses wherein the messages are IDP messages [Abstract; and col 5, lines 59-60].
- 15. As per claim 11, Hazlewood discloses wherein each subscriber identity is a telephone number [i.e. ported telephone number] [Abstract; and col 2, lines 21-31].
- 16. As per claim 12, Hazlewood discloses wherein each first field is an operator prefix [i.e. prefix values] [col 5, lines 19-47].
- 17. As per claim 13, Hazlewood discloses wherein the regime determination function comprises one or more of a service control point (SCP) of an intelligent network (IN) and a service control function (SCF) [Figures 2-5; and col 4, lines 46-55].
- 18. As per claim 14, Hazlewood discloses wherein each message requesting a regime for a connection comprises a source field for indicating the source of the message, the source field of the first message comprises an indication of the source of the first message and the source field of the second message comprises an indication of the source of the first message [col 4, lines 56-67; and col 6, lines 2-11].

- 19. As per claim 15, Hazlewood discloses the regime determination function determining a regime for the connection; and applying the regime to the connection [col 7, lines 14-27].
- 20. As per claim 16, Hazlewood does not specifically disclose wherein the connection is a connection for transfer of data. Bot discloses wherein the connection is a connection for transfer of data [i.e. voice mail] [paragraph 0002]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hazlewood and Bot because the teaching of Bot would allow implementation as well as maintenance of a service is easy, as the IN service to be accessed as well as a determination of the prefix is located in the same network [Bot, paragraphs 0006].
- 21. As per claim 17, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 1.
- 22. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hazlewood [US Patent No 7,043,229], in view of Bot et al. [US Patent Application No 2004/0242226], and further in view of Aijala [US Patent Application No 2002/0176405].
- 23. As per claim 10, Hazlewood and Bot do not specifically disclose wherein the messages are SIP INVITE. Aijala discloses wherein the messages are SIP INVITE messages [Figure 2; Abstract; and paragraphs 0024 and 0025]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hazlewood, Bot and Aijala because Aijala's teaching of SIP messages would allow to create, modify, and terminate voice calls carried over an IP network [Aijala, paragraph 0002].

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached at (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,080 Page 11

Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dustin Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2154